



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FILED

12/15/22

02:01 PM

C2212010

Douglas Macmillan,

Complainant,

vs.

Southern California Edison Company (U338E)
and Southern California Edison d/b/a Edison
Carrier Solutions (U6096E),

Defendants.

ECP Case (C.) _____

Expedited Complaint
(Rule 4.6)

COMPLAINANT	DEFENDANTS
<p>Douglas Macmillan 23007 Evalyn Avenue Torrance CA 90505 T: 310-936-1865 E-mail: dougmacmillan1@me.com</p>	<p>Southern California Edison Company (U338E) Attn: Anna Valdborg, Director & Managing Attorney 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770 T-626-302-6008 E-mail 1: Anna.Valdborg@sce.com E-mail 2: case.admin@sce.com</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>AND</u></p> <p>Southern California Edison d/b/a Edison Carrier Solutions (U6096E) Attn: Marvene Raz, Advisor Contract Administrator 2 Innovation Way, 1st floor Pomona CA 91768 T: 909-274-3974 E-mail: ECSCCompliance@sce.com</p>

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(A)

Douglas Macmillan

COMPLAINANT(S)

VS.

(B)

Southern California Edison

DEFENDANT(S)

(Include Utility "U-Number", if known)

(for Commission use only)

(C)

Have you tried to resolve this matter informally with the Commission's Consumer Affairs staff?

☒ YES

☐ NO

Has staff responded to your complaint?

☐ YES

☒ NO

Did you appeal to the Consumer Affairs Manager?

☐ YES

☒ NO

Do you have money on deposit with the Commission?

☐ YES

☒ NO

Amount \$ _____

Is your service now disconnected?

☐ YES

☒ NO

COMPLAINT

(D)

The complaint of (Provide name, address and phone number for each complainant)

Name of Complainant(s)	Address	Daytime Phone Number
Douglas Macmillan	23007 Evalyn Ave, Torrance CA 90505	310 936 1865

respectfully shows that:

(E)

Defendant(s) (Provide name, address and phone number for each defendant)

Name of Defendant(s)	Address	Daytime Phone Number
Southern California Edison	P.O. Box 800 Rosemead, CA 91770	1800 655 4555

(F)

Explain fully and clearly the details of your complaint. (Attach additional pages if necessary and any supporting documentation)

SCE - Southern California Edison advertises, and the NEM 2.0 law states that I, as a (residential) solar customer will receive credit for excess energy generated and fed to the grid.

SCE advertising PDF included

SCE is not doing this. As indicated on my 5/20/22 SCE power bill (highlighted with a red rectangle) Edison has capped my generation. Edison has taken 566kWh of my generated power and sold it to others. This is the very definition of theft.

I ask that SCE be instructed to follow the law - and their own public advertising and pay me for the power I generate.

(G) Scoping Memo Information (Rule 4.2(a))

(1) The proposed category for the Complaint is (check one):

☒ adjudicatory (most complaints are adjudicatory unless they challenge the reasonableness of rates)

☐ ratesetting (check this box if your complaint challenges the reasonableness of a rates)

(2) Are hearings needed, (are there facts in dispute)? ☐ YES ☒ NO

(3) ☐ Regular Complaint ☒ Expedited Complaint

(4) The issues to be considered are (Example: The utility should refund the overbilled amount of \$78.00):

I have installed \$70k plus of solar + storage to enable me to:

Disconnect from SoCalGas

Charge and drive my car on Solar only

Significantly reduce my impact on the environment

The utility should follow the law - and their own advertising and pay me for the power I generate.

This current SCE practice deceives customers, costing them 10s of thousands of dollars installing solar systems to generate clean energy that SCE has no intent to compensate. This is unethical and illegal.

- (5) The proposed schedule for resolving the complaint within 12 months (if categorized as adjudicatory) or 18 months (if categorized as ratesetting) is as follows:

Prehearing Conference: Approximately 30 to 40 days from the date of filing of the Complaint.

Hearing: Approximately 50 to 70 days from the date of filing of the Complaint.

Prehearing Conference (Example: 6/1/09):	
Hearing (Example: 7/1/09)	

Explain here if you propose a schedule different from the above guidelines.

(H)

Wherefore, complainant(s) request(s) an order: State clearly the exact relief desired. (Attach additional pages if necessary)

I request that:

- 1) SCE corrects this illegal practice and pays me for all stolen power**
- 2) SCE identifies to the public utilities commission all customers they are capping (stealing from)**
- 3) SCE notifies and pays all their customers they are capping**
- 4) SCE publicly admits wrongdoing**
- 5) The California Public Utilities Commission upholds the NEM 2.0 law**
- 6) The California PUC makes it clear to the public that there is no penalty for solar + storage**

(I)

OPTIONAL: I/we would like to receive the answer and other filings of the defendant(s) and information and notices from the Commission by electronic mail (e-mail). My/our e-mail address(es) is/are:

dougmacmillan1@me.com

(J)

Dated Torrance, California, this 13th day of June, 2022
(City) (date) (month) (year)




Signature of each complainant

(MUST ALSO SIGN VERIFICATION AND PRIVACY NOTICE)

(K)**REPRESENTATIVE'S INFORMATION:**

Provide name, address, telephone number, e-mail address (if consents to notifications by e-mail), and signature of representative, if any.

Name of Representative:	Douglas Macmillan
Address:	23007 Evalyn Ave, Torrance, CA 90505
Telephone Number:	310 936 1865
E-mail:	dougmacmillan1@me.com
Signature	

VERIFICATION
(For Individual or Partnerships)

I am (one of) the complainant(s) in the above-entitled matter; the statements in the foregoing document are true of my knowledge, except as to matters which are therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

(L)

Executed on 6/13/22, at Torrance, California
(date) (City)



(Complainant Signature)

VERIFICATION
(For a Corporation)

I am an officer of the complaining corporation herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The statements in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge, except as to the matters which are therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

(M)

Executed on _____, at _____, California
(date) (City)

Signature of Officer

Title

(N) NUMBER OF COPIES NEEDED FOR FILING:

If you are filing your formal complaint on paper, then submit one (1) original, six (6) copies, plus one (1) copy for each named defendant. For example, if your formal complaint has one defendant, then you must submit a total of eight (8) copies (Rule 4.2(b)).

If you are filing your formal complaint electronically (visit <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/efiling> for additional details), then you are not required to mail paper copies.

(O) Mail paper copies to: California Public Utilities Commission
Attn: Docket Office

505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2001
San Francisco, CA 94102

PRIVACYNOTICE

This message is to inform you that the Docket Office of the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") intends to file the above-referenced Formal Complaint electronically instead of in paper form as it was submitted.

Please Note: Whether or not your Formal Complaint is filed in paper form or electronically, Formal Complaints filed with the CPUC become a public record and may be posted on the CPUC's website. Therefore, any information you provide in the Formal Complaint, including, but not limited to, your name, address, city, state, zip code, telephone number, E-mail address and the facts of your case may be available on-line for later public viewing.

Having been so advised, the Undersigned hereby consents to the filing of the referenced complaint.



Signature

6/13/22

Date

Douglas Bryan Macmillan

Print your name